

Rayminh L. Ngo, Esq.,  
SDNY Bar No.: RN4834  
HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES  
1504 Brookhollow Dr., Suite 112  
Santa Ana, CA 92705  
(714) 617-8349  
(714) 597-6559 facsimile  
Email: rngo@higbeeassociates.com

Attorney for Plaintiff,  
CHRISTOPHER SADOWSKI,

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

CHRISTOPHER SADOWSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOMMY NEAREST, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FROM  
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Christopher Sadowski, for his Complaint against Mommy Nearest, Inc., Defendant, alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Christopher Sadowski (hereinafter “Plaintiff”), by counsel, brings this action to challenge the actions of Mommy Nearest, Inc. (hereinafter “Defendant”), with regard to the unlawful use of one (1) of copyrighted image

(hereinafter “Image”) owned by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s claims for copyright infringement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).

2. For the purposes of this Complaint for Damages, unless otherwise indicated, “Defendant” includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogates, representatives and insurers of Defendant(s) named in this caption.

3. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunction relief for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 101, whereby the Defendant violated Plaintiff’s exclusive rights as copyright owner pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 106A.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant’s principal place of business lies within the State of New York, Defendant’s acts of infringement complained of herein occurred in the State of New York, and Defendant has caused injury to Plaintiff in his intellectual property within the State of New York.

5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the Defendant’s principal place of business lies within this judicial district and a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff’s claim occurred in this judicial district.

6. Alternatively, venue is also proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because the Defendant resides, committed the acts of infringement, and has a regular and established place of business in this judicial district.

### **PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the City of Hawthorne, in the State of New Jersey.

8. Plaintiff is a “copyright owner” who holds “exclusive rights” to his “copyrighted work[s]” pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, 106, 106A.

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a business incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business established in the State of New York.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a corporation that unlawfully published Plaintiff’s copyrighted works without Plaintiff’s express or implied authority, by the method of a license.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

11. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was an individual residing within the State of New Jersey.

//

//

//

12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant, Defendant was a business entity incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business within the State of New York and in this judicial district.

13. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade. Plaintiff is regularly contracted to take photographs for organizations such as the New York Post. His photographs appear in numerous news publications, magazines, books, television programs and other media. He sells or licenses photography to people and companies seeking to make use of the photographs for editorials, advertisements and pecuniary gain. Plaintiff's livelihood is dependent on receiving compensation for the photographs he produces.

14. Plaintiff took the Original Image. *See* Original Image, attached hereto as "Exhibit A."

15. Plaintiff has ownership and copyright of the Image.

16. Plaintiff has registered the Image with the United States Copyright Office under Registration Numbers VA 1-919-173.

17. Plaintiff has provided multiple notices to Defendant that the Image is subject to copyright and to cease use of the Image.

18. Plaintiff did not consent to authorize, permit, allow in any manner the use of the Image by Defendant.

19. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant used Plaintiff's copyrighted work without his permission and that it published, communicated, benefited through, posted, publicized and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique work of Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent or authority, and acquired monetary gain and market benefit as a result.

20. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant used the Image on its business website from as early as July 22, 2014. *See* Screenshots of Defendant's Use attached hereto as "Exhibit B."

21. Defendant used the Image to promote the Defendant's business.

22. Plaintiff did not consent to the use of his Image for commercial gain.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

#### **Title 17 of the United States Code**

23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

24. Plaintiff did not consent to, authorize, permit, or allow in any manner the said use of Plaintiff's unique and original materials and/or work.

25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that said Defendant infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted works in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that it published, communicated, benefited through, posted,

publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, the original and unique work of the Plaintiff's consent or authority and acquired monetary gain and market benefit as a result.

26. As a result of each and every Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages and profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(b), or statutory damages in an amount up to \$150,000.00 for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

27. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C § 505 from Defendant.

28. Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant

- Awarding Plaintiff actual damages for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) or statutory damages in an amount up to \$150,000.00 for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c);
- Awarding Plaintiff costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- Enjoining the Defendant from further infringement of all copyrighted works of the Plaintiff pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502; and
- Awarding any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 5, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/ Rayminh L. Ngo**

Rayminh L. Ngo, Esq.

SDNY Bar No. RN4834

HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES

1504 Brookhollow Dr., Ste 112

Santa Ana, CA 92705-5418

(714) 617-8349

(714) 597-6559 facsimile

*Counsel for Plaintiff*

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Christopher Sadowski, hereby demands a trial by jury in the above matter.

Dated: May 5, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/ Rayminh L. Ngo**

Rayminh L. Ngo, Esq.

SDNY Bar No. RN4834

HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES

1504 Brookhollow Dr., Ste 112

Santa Ana, CA 92705-5418

(714) 617-8349

(714) 597-6559 facsimile

*Counsel for Plaintiff*